

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/690,799	10/17/2000	Jeffry Batio	94841	3187	
24628 75	90 · 11/20/2006		EXAMINER		
WELSH & KATZ, LTD			SHAPIRO, LEONID		
120 S RIVERSI	DE PLAZA		APTIBUT	PAPER NUMBER	
22ND FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60606			2629		
			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2000	DATE MAILED: 11/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary  Examiner Leonid Shapiro 2629  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corresponder.  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 1: Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 September 2006.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	3)	
Leonid Shapiro  2629  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondent of the cover sheet with the cover sheet with the correspondent of the cover sheet with the correspondent of the cover sheet with the correspondent of the cover sheet with the cover sheet with the correspondent of the cover sheet with the cover sheet wi	BATIO, JEFFRY	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondent Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 September 2006.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as		
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 1: Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 September 2006.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as		
<ul> <li>WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 1: Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> <li>Status</li> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 September 2006.</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL.</li> <li>2b) This action is non-final.</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as</li> </ul>	ice address	
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 September 2006</u>.</li> <li>This action is <b>FINAL</b>.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as</li> </ol>	of this communication.	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 16 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 16 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form.</li> </ul>	37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this Nata application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date  6) Other:	on	

#### **Drawings**

On 09/11/06 Applicant notes the Examiner's objection to the drawings and plans to file replacement sheets shortly in a supplemental filing.

## Specification

On 09/11/06 Applicant notes the Examiner's objection to the Specification and plans to file Substitute specification shortly in a supplemental filing.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Limitations of claim 16: "Pivotal display screen rotatably coupled to the primary frame by a mounting arm;

said display screen configured to rotate in at least two planes, each plane being perpendicular to the other;

Art Unit: 2629

the mounting arm having a first end connected to a portion of the primary frame, a second end connected to a portion of said display screen, a first pivot mount disposed at said first end for pivotally mounting the display screen to said primary frame to facilitate movement in one of said planes, and a second pivot mount disposed at said second end for pivotally mounting the display screen to facilitate movement in another of said planes" do not shown in the Drawings or Specification.

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear how limitations of claim 16: "Pivotal display screen rotatably coupled to the primary frame by a mounting arm;

said display screen configured to rotate in at least two planes, each plane being perpendicular to the other;

the mounting arm having a first end connected to a portion of the primary frame, a second end connected to a portion of said display screen, a first pivot mount disposed at said first end for pivotally mounting the display screen to said primary frame to facilitate movement in one of said planes, and a second pivot mount disposed at said second end for pivotally mounting the display screen to facilitate movement in another of said planes" could be implemented?

Rejections on merits could not be done due of non-sufficient support in Drawings, Specification and indefinite subject matter.

#### Telephone Inquire

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonid Shapiro whose telephone number is 571-272-7683. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 a.m. to 5 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe can be reached on 571-272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 2629

11.14.06

RICHARD HJÉRPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 Page 5